IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS DALLAS DIVISION

BERNARD ROSS, #12034507,	§	
Plaintiff,	§	
	§	
v.	§	3:12-CV-5221-D
	§	
SHERIFF VALDEZ, et al.,	§	
Defendants.	§	

ORDER

After making an independent review of the pleadings, files, and records in this case, and the findings, conclusions, and recommendation of the magistrate judge, the court concludes that the findings and conclusions are correct. It is therefore ordered that the findings, conclusions, and recommendation of the magistrate judge are adopted.

It is ordered that this action is dismissed with prejudice as frivolous. *See* 28 U.S.C. §§ 1915(e)(2)(B) and 1915A(b). The dismissal of this case counts as a "strike" or "prior occasion" within the meaning 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g).

The court certifies that any appeal of this action would not be taken in good faith. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3). In support of this finding, the court adopts and incorporates by reference the magistrate judge's findings, conclusions, and recommendation. *See Baugh v. Taylor*, 117 F.3d 197,

Case 3:12-cv-05221-D-BK Document 9 Filed 06/27/13 Page 2 of 2 PageID 54

202 n.21 (5th Cir. 1997). Based on the findings and recommendation, the court finds that any appeal of this action would present no legal point of arguable merit and would, therefore, be frivolous. *Howard v. King*, 707 F.2d 215, 220 (5th Cir. 1983).

SO ORDERED.

June 27, 2013.

SIDNEY A. FITZV

CHIEF JUDGE